G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1889-90

~~191~~.

(L. R

File No. 27.

Serial Nos. 27

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(15)

Serial Nos. 1–27

Major ~~Part~~ B for July 1896.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of S Subba Rao re his Dharmadaya Inam Lands in the Siddaghatta Taluk.

Indexed 29

W D 88--GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Deputy Commissioners
of Tiptur - submits the file
of records bearing upon the
appeal petition of S. Subba Rao.

The facts of the case are
briefly as follows -

Survey Superintendent
forwarded to the Deputy Comrs.
a statement according to the
Chief Commissioners No 4831/316
dated 3-10-74. regarding the
Inam grants to Amerayas
Chuttrums in the Siddaguttu Taluq
That the figures. Rs 13-1-6 entered
in Column 24 of the statement
represents the Inam rate of
assessment and that in Co-
lumn 28 viz. Rs 46-8-0 denotes
the full Government assessment.

If the Inamdar agrees
to pay the assessment the
excess should be recovered
from him, but if he is willing
to take an equal exchange
of the Government land (which
is equal in Area to the original
Inam) for the Inam granted
to him then his depositions

No. 9. d. 21-7-85

must be taken and a statement prepared and submitted.

Amil's reply forwarding the deposition of the Inamdar Doobba Rao. 29. 9. 85.

That immemorial waste land was given for Amrey. and there is no condition either in the Inam grant or in the Istihar issued by the Chief Commissioner that the Inam land is subject to the general revision during the Survey Settlement. It is just on the part of the government to claim the Kandayam if there were land in excess of that originally granted as Inam. and is binding on the Inamdar to pay the assessment on such excess of land.

But after a heavy outlay when the waste land had been improved and brought under cultivation, the Survey Supt., fixing an increased rate of assessment according to the present improved state of the land directs the grant of a small portion of land as Jodi Inam equal to the original Inam Beriz, treating the rest of the land as Government's fixing full assessment

He declines to pay the excess assessment and is willing to have such extent of land as was originally granted as Inam.. That the excess land be either resumed by the Government or given on Kandayam

He has put in a petition for despatch to the Survey Supt.

In this petition.

He states that his father Gonoo Rao, according to the terms of the Sothchar issued by the Chief Comr. applied to the Bangalore Division Superintendent for the grant of waste land near Kannamangala and to the south of the road leading to Kolar, to plant Amarry for the convenience of travellers and to afford shelter to Cattle.

As per order issued by the Division Supt. to the Amildar of Sidlaghatta his father planted Gonoo Amarry containing 206 trees at a heavy cost.

When the trees were grown up and in a flourishing state he applied for the grant of Inam for the up-keep of

[x] No 40 d. 1-2-44.

the dues in the shape of pay to the servant at Rs 2 and as 3 per mensem as well as for his having planted Amarsy-

According to the order issued by the Division Supt to the Amil.

No 263 d. 27-6-46

That dry Government waste land situated near Kannamangala and Nallahully measuring and assessed at 7as per Kudoo be given as Inam Jodi.

2 Kandies, 19 Kollagas 1 Balla

After Dasalakshyabhivrthi a permanent Jodi assessment at Rs 13-1-6 should be paid. That his father has received a Summud for enjoying the land.

Though a very large sum has been spent yet only a portion of the land has been brought under cultivation That the income realized is quite inadequate to meet the necessary expenses. as the pay of the servant for supervision. the cost of labor having risen to Rs 5-8 per mensem, the assessment and the benefit derived is next to nothing owing to the lack of seasonable rain &c—

During the Inam
settlement his land was elapsed
under Dhurmadaya for which
Putta was granted to him
fixing the payment of Jodi
assessment at Rs 13-2-0.

That when the Inam was
granted there was no condition
that the Inam land was sub-
-ject to the revision by the
Survey Supt. He had laid out
a very large sum for improving
the land believing the terms
of the Inam would never be
violated.

But now the order issued
by the Survey Supt is quite illegal
and is directly opposed to the
terms of the Ishtihar and the
Inam title-grant.

The Deputy Comr for-
-warded the deposition and
the petition to the Survey Supt
who ordered that he cannot
comply with the proposals of
the Inamdar as the rate
has been fixed according to
the Chief Comr's Order.
He may either keep the whole
land paying the full assess-
-ment on the excess of land

No 524 d. 7.9.85

or relinquish the rest of the land

Amildar's report — No 211. d. 4. 1. 86

that the Inamdar did not appear though notice was served on him but has endorsed on the notice that he has appealed to the Dewan and would reply to the Survey Supt's letter when he gets an order on his appeal.

The deputy Comr — 28. 2. 86

directs the Amil to submit a statement containing a detailed report as to the extent of land originally granted as Inam, the areas cultivated &c.

Amil submits — 682. d. 3. 3. 87

the statement and ordered to take the deposition from the Inamdar according to the Survey Supt's order —

Forwards the Amil's — No. 383 d 7. 8. 87

report to the Survey Supt's reminders that the Inamdar had not turned up but has written on the notice that he has appealed to the Dewan.

Survey Superintendent — 323. d. 24/8/87.

informs the Deputy Comr If the Inamdar replies in this way no final decision could be arrived at

and Consequently a Notice must be issued with the Condition that if the Inamdar fails to reply in the specified time that Retaining the extent of the Inam the rest of the land would be separated and treated as Government Lands

No 620. d. 9. 11. 87.

Forwards to the Survey Supt the Amil's report - that Notice has been served - and that though the time has expired the Inamdar has not appeared

Survey Supt directs to resume the excess of the Jodi land to Govt retaining the Inam land and to credit in the account during the Jamabandy.

Amil reports that this order had been carried out

The Inamdar appealed to the Dewan.

x x

vi 1685 - No 974 - 9 - 8 - 89
16 - 4 - 88
No 1803 d. 18 - 11 - 89
No 8 - 2. d. 5 - 4 - 90 - -

Petition of Siddagutta Soobba Rao, residing at Ulsoor Petta, Bangalore -

According to the rules framed by the Government of India Section 18 of the Inam Rules of 1867 Putta was granted to him freeing the payment

of Jodi at Rs 13.2-a. per annum instead of at Rs 13-1-6 according to the original Inam grant- and his land was classed under Dharmadaya-

× Issued in 1846 according to the Chief Commissioner's Notifications in 1841, 1842 and 1846.

In the year 1885- during the time of Survey Settlement, although his Inam land was not in excess of former measurement yet for one Acre the Survey authorities fixed a Kandayam at Rs-1-8-0, 1-9-a, 1-1-11-a. For 48 Acres 19 Guntas they fixed a Kandayam of Rs 75-4-0. Of this setting apart 19 Acres 16 Guntas at the above rate of Kandayam amounting to Rs 26-4-0 + (plus) $\frac{1}{10}$ th of this amount Rs 2-8-a = 28-12-a as the petitioner's portion of Inam land, the balance remaining out of land formerly granted to him viz 29 Acres, 3 Guntas assessed at Rs 46-8-0 has been treated as Government-

× 2 Kandies, 19 Kolagas at 1 Bah assessed at 7as per Kolaga.

× 1½ Kolaga = 1 Acre

He has been served with notice by the Dy Collr to pay the excess of Kandayam-

Several were granted Jodi Inams for building Church ruins, Inam Sarkhanas Planting Armory, topes &c-

When the Survey authorities tried to deprive a portion of their land or recover enhanced rate of Kandayem as they did in the appellants' case they all appealed to the Dewan -

Vide. Proc 4206-6/174 d. 19-8-81. Directing, in the case of Mr. A.R. Lebapathy Moodr Inam, the Dy Comr to hold in abeyance any further Proc towards the realization of the amount on the Inam land

Proc. No 734-7 d. 11.7.85. Reducing the enhanced rate of Kandayem by 2/8 on the Jodi Inam lands granted to late amildar Ahobala Rao

No 931 d. 29.10.86. Chief Secretary's order requesting the Dy Comr to cause the exception in the Minor Inams of Rs Sawdy disposed of under the latest rules which deal with except

. Proceedings for the issue of an order to the Survey Supdt not to proceed against the petitioner for the recovery of enhanced Kandayem on his father's Jodi Inam lands

He writes a second reminder appeal - that though he petitioned to the Dy Comr not to sell the Jodi lands by public auction yet he has received a reply from the Amildar that he should produce the final orders of the Government in his case within a month or should deposit in the Taluq Treasury the excess Kuruvgeni on his Dharmadaya Inam lands.

No 32 - d. 17-9-89.

He prays for the issue of orders to hold in abeyance the collections of the excess Kuruvgeni - jeeru to the Dy Comr

His third reminder appeal - praying for the redress of his grievances -

No 827 - d. 24-90.

This petition was forwarded to the Dy Comr Kolar with a request that he will report fully on the petitioner's case holding Coercive measures in abeyance in respect of the excess imposition Complained of and an endorsement to the effect for-warded to the petitioner requesting him to forward a copy of his appeal petition d. 16th April 1888 for reference -

No 125 - d. 25-5-90.

No 52 - d. 25-5-90.

In the meanwhile

he wrote another reminder

No 267. d. 19. 8. 90 appeal.

In reply the ~~Appellant~~ was informed that the Dy Comr of the Kolar Dōtrict has been directed to hold in abeyance the collection of the excess Kandayam on the Inam lands granted to his father in the Siddagutta Zaleg pending the settlement of the Chuttheem Inam question.

This was communicated to the Dy Comr

No 4227/194 d. 26. 7. 90

No 1234/273 d. 2. 8. 1890.

The Depy Comr submits the file of records connected with the case of the petitioner.

He states that it will be observed from the records that the Petitioner's Amarry Inam has like many others in the Province been settled on the principles laid down in the Govt Proc. No 4831/316 d. 3. 10. 76 and that his objection to pay the assessment justly due by him to Government is inadmissible.

7. 10. 90. A. J. Arbuthnot

Submitted to the
Council.

9/10/90. AGP

1892-93.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 24th March 1893.

No. 16246-55.
R. F. 295-92.

Laying down additional Rules for the treatment of excesses in Chattram, &c., Inams, in continuation of the Revised Rules published with Government Notification No. 125, dated 10th June 1891.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—8-4-93.—120.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 24th March 1893.

No. 16246-55—R. F. 295-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 24TH MARCH 1893.

ORDER.—I. In explanation of the orders contained in Proceedings of Government No. 2872-81—Circular 28, dated 19th May 1892, and in continuation of the Revised Rules for the treatment of excesses in Chattram, &c., Inams published with Government Notification No. 125, dated 10th June 1891, the Government are pleased to lay down the following additional Rules:—

1. Any excess, over the area allowed by the first settlement made under the Rules of 1874, to which an Inamdar may be entitled under a revised settlement (under the Notification of 10th June 1891), shall be made good to him out of (as far as possible) the area resumed under the first settlement, where such resumed area is at the disposal of the Government or is surrendered for the purpose by the occupants thereof. But the revised settlement should not be made the occasion for the exchange of fresh, for *available* old, lands, at the mere option of the Inamdar.

2. Where however the resumed land is not available or the portion of it available is not sufficient, the excess or balance of area required, shall be granted out of Government unoccupied land, in the same or neighbouring villages equal as far as possible, in character, quality and value to the resumed land. All such assignments of fresh land should be reported for the information and confirmation of Government.

and 3. Where an adjustment in either of the two ways above specified be found not feasible, the case should be reported for the orders of Government; with a recommendation for the grant of an equivalent in land out of the Inamdar's private holding, if any, in the same or neighbouring villages or for a ready money Inam from the Treasury or for such other disposal as may be considered most appropriate.

II. A Notification in the above terms will be published in the Mysore Government Gazette.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent, Revenue Survey in Mysore.
The Superintendent, Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—M.A.

To

The Superintendent
Revenue Survey
in Mysore

Dated.

No

Has Nos 395 & 491 of 18th Decr/93
and 6th Feby/94 respectively
with ref to Govt Pro No 16246-55 of 24th March/93
R 7.235-92
Submitting for Sanction
Statements shewing the
particulars of lands proposed
to be allotted in lieu of
Inam lands resumed as
per cess indenture finish Settlement
but not now available for
restoration indenture revised
Settlement.

In returning the Statements
received with the above,
has the honor to State that

Inspector below

PM

Assistant Draftsman 491

8/8/94

This para is not necessary
as only 1 Cess Deed
is to be taken for one year
from 1/1 9/4/94

(General Serial No. 31.)

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

the submission of each individual separately case will entail needless correspondence and to request therefore that a Taluk wise Statement for each District may be caused to be prepared and submitted for the final orders of Government

2. When dry lands are not available in the Village in which the Inam is situated (to which effect the Amildar should ~~personally inspect & cer~~ certify after personal inspection) other lands of equivalent value may be assigned, and when this is not also feasible, applications for a ready money Inam may be submitted for the orders of Government.

By order.

Chief Secty.

1 It is well to deal with each case separately -

2 It is necessary to examine each case with the entire file. leading up to the final ~~Orders~~ Rules we have framed finally passed - & the notes made in each of the

Inam Lands fixed by the Surve
d Submitted Copies of Proceedings
dismissing of cases of similar natu
petition of mine Submitted an
gain respectfully represented that
redress at your Honor's mercies
s been unnecessarily made a
on a kind perusal of the a
the subject.

e above two petitions are
or orders, which fact was
e deputy Commissioner ka
petitions presented to h

9/10 9/10

12/9/88
930

To.

Nov 974

Serial No (1)

K. Shivaram Iyer Esquire C.S.I. Bth
Dewan & His Highness the
Maha Rajah of Mysore

Most Respected Sir

I humbly represent that I submitted an Appeal petition on the 6th April 18 in which I detailed in full the history of my case regarding the excess Kandayam on my Dharmadayam land to dispossess [illegible] Department, and the forfeiture of my lands by public auction of Government. I beg to Remain most

Sir the Second Respected Sir

the 17th July 188, I your honors most obedient my claims for and humble Servant hands have n Signed J. Lavalle Akui will be seen fr Residing at Talsoor Dist petitions on Bangalore

When th
pending upon ha
honor to give
in my several

[redacted]

[redacted]

18-11-89

No 1803

Bangalore 12th November 1889

Series No 2

H. Seshadri Iyer Esqr C.S.I B.A & Bd

Dewan & &c the Maha Raja of Mysore

P/In No 974/1887
Ld 4 Jan Disposed
SR 22-8-89

Most Respected Sir

I beg most respectfully to represent that I submitted an appeal petition on the 16th April 1888 in which I detailed in full the history of my case regarding the Excess Kandayam on my Dharmadaya Inam lands fixed by the Survey & Department, and submitted copies of proceedings &c of Government disposing off cases of similar nature

In the 2nd petition I submitted on the 13 July 1888 I again respectfully represented that my claims for redress at your honors hands have not been unnecessarily made as will be seen from a careful perusal of the above petitions on the subject

I submitted another petition on the same subject on the 10th August 1889 which is also pending your honors order.

I represented to the Dharmadhikari that my appeal is still pending your honors orders and until its disposal my Dharmadaya Inam lands

may not be sold by public auction
in adjustment of the Excess Kandayam
not due by me I received a reply
(herein enclosed) from the Amildar
to the effect that I should
produce the final orders of the Local
Government in my case within a
month or deposit in the Taluq Treasury
the Excess Kandayam fixed by the
Survey Department on my Dhanadayam
Inam lands.

I therefore that my case
which is pending your honors orders
from a long time may be kindly
disposed of so that my Inam lands
may not be sold by public auction
in satisfaction of the dues not due
by me, and I may not be subjected
to unnecessary loss & disgrace at-
tending on it by the Sale of Inam
lands.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir.
Your honors most obedient
& humble Servt.

Residing at Nelsons Pettah
Bangalore

Last Petition enclosed
D. the Dy. C. Kolar District
with a request that he
will expedite early on the
Petr's case having exercise
measures in abeyance
in respect of the excess
~~Inspection~~ inspection.
Communicated for -
Copy of the above to
be sent to Petr for info with
a request that he will
submit duly attested
& verified Petition &c &c.

25 May 90

(General Serial No. 32.)

1889-1890. SC (3.)

No. 52

Name of Petitioner. S. Subba Rao

Village. Alur Pette, Bangalore

Taluk. Bangalore

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 5/4/90

Date of Receipt. 7/4/90

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one.

Drafted PKR 21/5

Perusing petitioners
Arzis 930 of 1888 &
1803 of 1889 were
submitted by Dewan
Bahadur —
Submitted to
Chief Secretary.

18/4/90 A.C.

Read —
I want the papers
Summon or this ref to me
Summon witness them

21 May 90

974

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner states that his appeal Petitions of 16th April, 13th July and 1st August 88 regarding the excess Kandayam fixed on his Dharmadaya Inam lands by the Survey Dept., are still pending orders.

2. That Petitioner requested Kolar Dy. Commr not to sell the lands above referred to in adjustment of excess Kandayam not due by him, pending the disposal of his appeal, he (Petr) received a reply (enclosed in his last petition) from the Amildar that he should either produce the final orders of Govt within a months or deposit in the Taluk Treasury the excess Kandayam.

3. On 1st inst. Petitioner

received an order (Copy attached) from the Amildar that he shd bring the expl Kandaneiu within days or his movable and immovable properties would be sold in satisfaction of the demand.

4. Petitioner now prays that orders may be issued to the Collr by Commr to stay further proceedings pending the final orders of Govt. He also requests for prompt disposal of his case.

x In the order it is stated that the sale has taken place.

No 125

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFF.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 52
dated 5th April 1890 received at the
Dewan's Office from S. Soobba Rao
alias Pella, Bangalore
S.C. I.

is forwarded, together with its one
enclosures, to the Dy. Commissioner
Kolar District with a
request that he will
report fully on the
petitioners' case holding
coercive measures
in abeyance in respect
of the Excess imposition
complained of.

By Order,

BANGALORE,
25/5/1890 } Draft for Chief Secretary

AR

Draft on Ch. Orders.

SRA
27/5/90

(General Serial No. 34)

Serial (5)

No. 52

ENDORSEMENT.

In reply to his Petition, dated 5 "April 1890.

a copy of his Office Official memorandum

No. dated 25 "May 1890 is ~~informed that~~ forwarded

to S. Soobba Row, alias Petta, Bangalore for

information with a request that he will

submit copy of his petition dated 16 "April

1888 for reference.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
25/5/189.0
28

By Order,
Draft. AS
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—1-2-90.—1,000

AR.

Draft on Cabsorder.
SWR 29/5/90

(General Serial No. 32.)

1890/91

No. 261

Name of Petitioner. Sidlaghatta Subbaka.

Village. Bangalore

Taluk. Do

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 16.5.90.

Date of Receipt. 19.5.90

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two.

ABSTRACT.

Request that pending the disposal of this appeal by Commr, K. Rev. Dept. may be directed not to prevent from him (Petr) the exercise of rights imposed by the Survey Dept. Kandayans on the Inam lands &c

Submitted.
23d June/90 A.C.
Memo 420
Issue

Bangalore 16th May 1890

20-5-90

No. 267

20-5-90

To

V. Shashadri Iyer Esqr. B.A. B.L. C.S.I

Dewan Bahadur of Mysore

May it please your Excellency,

Your humble memorialist Sillagatta Subba Row son of Gunda Row late amildar of Cheinnapatam now residing at Ulsoor petta Bangalore, begs to bring the following few lines to your Excellency's Kind Consideration.

That according to the Chief Commissioner's notifications issued in 1841, 42 and 46 your humble memorialist's father in the year 1844 planted a tope of all sorts of fruits and flower plants on a barren land near Kannamungala, Sillagatta Salug. by the side of the road leading to Kolar for the benifit of the travellers and cattle and spending a large amount of money for its sake.

There are at present 206 trees in the tope affording shelter to cattle &c. To answer the above expenditure and for its future upkeep the Government of Mysore — according to the terms of the above notification granted Inam lands (Uncultivated) to your humble — memorialist's father in 1846 measuring 2 Candies 9 Kolagas and 1 Balla at 7 annas a Candy on the calculation that for a servant to watch over the

tope

- tope Rupees 2/. a month or Rupees 24/. per annum equivalent to eight pagodas and for the loss of crop &c. one pagoda in all 9 pagodas or Rs 26-4-0 and to prevent encroachment fixed Deva Roya Mudri boundary stones on four sides obtaining a Muchalika from your humble Memorialist's father to the effect that after the period Dasala Iraya Bhartha or reduced rate of Kandayam for ten years he should pay to Government a fixed Jodi of Rupees 13-1-6 per annum equivalent to 4 1/2 pagodas and be in possession of the land.

At the Inam settlement time according to Inam rules of 67 section 18 your humble Memorialist also received a patta for his Dhurmadaya Inam land. He was hitherto paying a Jodi of Rupees 13-2-0 instead of Rs 13-1-6.

Although your humble Memorialist's Inam lands measured only 2 Kandies 19 Kolagas and one balla equivalent to 48 acres 19 Kuntas, the Survey authorities fixed a Kandayeni of Rs 1-8-0 1-9-0, and 1-11-0 per acre amounting in all to Rupees 75/4-.

Your humble Memorialist here begs to represent that the Survey authorities instead of conforming to the condition specified in the Chief Commissioner's Notification under which Inam

lands

=lands were formerly granted set apart so much of the land, viz 19 acres 16 guntas yielding Kandayem of Rupees 26-4-0 per annum at the increased rate of Kandayem + 1/10 of the above sum viz Rupees 2-8-0 or Rupees 28-12-0 and for the remainder viz 29 acres 3 guntas yielding a Kandayem of Rupees 46-8-0, they intimated to the Deputy Commissioner that either your Memorialist should retain the land on payment of the above Kandayem or relinquish it to the Government. accordingly your Memorialist was served with a notice to the above effect. Your humble Memorialist represented that this procedure was opposed to the Chief Commissioner's Notification under which Inam lands were formerly granted and to Section 18 of Inam rules. Your humble Memorialist was informed in reply by the Survey Deptt that they acted in accordance with the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 1831/316 of 3rd October 1874.

Your humble Memorialist whereupon submitted appeal petition on the 16th April 1888 to Your Merciful Excellency for redress enclosing therein copies of Proceedings and Notifications connected with the disposal of similar cases.

Your humble Memorialist also intimated the same to the Deputy Commissioner requesting him

him that until the disposal of his case pending before your excellency the excess Kandayem of Rs 46-8- might not be recovered from him.

In reply he was informed that if the orders of the Local Government be not obtained in one months from the date of issue of the said notice your humble Memorialists' land would be sold by public auction and the excess Kandayem adjusted.

Since Your humble Memorialist brought to your excellency's notice in his appeal petition of 12th November 1889. A copy of the subsequent notice issued from the Taluq authorities was submitted by your humble Memorialist for your excellency's perusal with his petition of 5th April 1890.

Your Memorialists' case is not the only one pending your excellency's disposal, the undermentioned cases of

I Mr. Subapathy Moodr late H.C. Shirastadar who owns Inam lands in Yadavala Agara Ulsoor Obly in the Bangalore Taluq.

II The case of Dewan Bahadur Ragunatha Row who owns Inam lands for the Chuttram erected at Soldicutta Bangalore.

III The case of Vencata Raghava Das who owns Inam lands for the chattram erected by him at Belikere Hunsur Taluq.

IV The case of Ramiengar who owns Inam lands for the Chattram built by him at

= at Mayasamudra Gubbi Taluq.

May not be unknown to your excellency.

The above are all pending your excellency's disposal

Your humble Memorialist's case being of a similar nature begs that order may be passed in his case which is pending your excellency's merciful disposal for the last 2 years or if the above mentioned cases are to be disposed of simultaneously respectfully beseaches that an order may be issued to the Deputy Commissioner that until the disposal of the memorialists' case the excess Kandayam may not be recovered from him.

In conclusion your Memorialist begs to represent that he was subjected to unnecessary inconvenience and trouble by the Taluq authorities on the above account. They even intimated that his other property would be attached in satisfaction of the excess Kandayam imposed upon him

The issue of an order from your excellency to postpone further proceedings will remedy the above said evils.

The copies of notices issued by the Taluq authorities are herewith submitted for your excellency's perusal

Your humble Memorialist
begs to remain
Your Excellency's most Obedient and
humble servant.

9-xxxx

"ನಕ್ಷೆ-ಬಿ"

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಮಂಡಳಿ

ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳು

ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅವಧಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು

೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸವಣ್ಣೆಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಸಾ: ಹಿರೇಮಠ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಗುಡಿಮನಿ
ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಸಾ: ಗಡಿಯಾರ ಕಂಬದ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೩. ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಕುಮಾರ ತಂದೆ ಗವಿಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಸಾ: ಬಸ್ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೪. ಶ್ರೀ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ
ಖಜಾಂಚಿ
ಸಾ: ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೫. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹಾಂತೇಶ ತಂದೆ ಶಂಕ್ರಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಹಿರೇಮಠ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೬. ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸವರಾಜ ತಂದೆ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೭. ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಬಸವಣ್ಣೆಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಹಿರೇಮಠ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೮. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಗುಡಿಮನಿ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಗಡಿಯಾರ ಕಂಬದ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೯. ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಕುಮಾರ ತಂದೆ ಗವಿಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಅಂಗಡಿ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಬಸ್ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೧೦. ಶ್ರೀ ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೧೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹಾಂತೇಶ ತಂದೆ ಶಂಕ್ರಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮಠ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಹಿರೇಮಠ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

೧೨. ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸವರಾಜ ತಂದೆ ಈರಪ್ಪ ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ
ಸದಸ್ಯರು
ಸಾ: ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನಿ ಓಣಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅವಧಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು. ಅಂದರೆ ೨೦೨೦ ರಿಂದ ೨೦೨೫ ರವರೆಗೆ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅವಧಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು. ಅಂದರೆ ೨೦೨೦ ರಿಂದ ೨೦೨೫ ರವರೆಗೆ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅವಧಿ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳು. ಅಂದರೆ ೨೦೨೦ ರಿಂದ ೨೦೨೫ ರವರೆಗೆ.

ಈ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Petition Dept
11/6/90

Bangalore.
the 11th June 1890

No.
420.
Dy 12.6.90

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore
Seringapatam

Sir

As directed in Endorsement
No 52 dy 25/28 may 1890, I beg to Submit
herewith copy of my appeal petition to
His Excellency the Dewan Bahadur
of Mysore, dated 16th April 188 together
with its enclosures, which it is requested
may be kindly returned when no longer
required.

I beg to Remain
Sir
Your most Obedient
Servant

Residing at Ulsoor
Pettah Bangalore

Attached two Rupees Stamp 2 . 2

Bangalore
the 16th April 1888

To,
Enclosure 1
Petition
dated

K. Shaadadri Iyer Esqr.
C.S.I. B.A. B.L.
Dewan of Mysore.

Most Respected Sir,

The Humble petition of Siddlaghatta Soob Row, Son of Gundu Row late amildar of Chennapatam, now residing at Ulsoor petta Bangalore

Most Respectfully showeth;

That your honors humble petitioners father was holding lands in Kammungala, Siddlaghatta Taluk; which are now in your honors humble petitioners possession. As there were no chatrams, — Musafar Khans, Topes and avenue trees on road-side and as the travellers were put to much inconvenience, with a view to better the state of the country. the late Commissioner of Mysore in 1841, 1842

1846 issued Notifications for the infor-
mation of the Public and according to
which your honor's humble petitioner's
father in the year 1844, undertook to
plant a tope of all sorts of fruit & and
flower trees on a barren land, near
Kannamangala Siddlaghatta Taluk,
by the side of the road leading to Kolar
for the benefit of the travellers and cattle,
spending a large amount of money, in
consequence. There are at present 206 trees
in the tope affording shelter to cattle &c.
To answer the above expenditure, and
for its future upkeep the Goverment of
Mysore, according to the terms of the
above notifications, granted an Inam
land (uncultivated) to your honor's humble
petitioner's father in 1846, measuring 2
Candies 19 Kolagas and 1 balla at 7 annas
a Kindi; on this calculation, that for
a servant to watch over the tope Rs 2/,
a month or Rupees 24 per annum equivalent
and for the loss of crop &c 1 pagoda in all 9 pagodas
to 8 pagodas or Rs 26-4-a and to prevent
encroachment

encroachment, fixed Davaroya Goodry boundary stones on four sides, obtaining a kuchalika from your honor's humble petitioner's father to the effect that after the period of Dasala strayabharti or reduced rate of Kandayam for 10 years, he should pay to Government a fixed godi of Rupees 13-1-6 per annum equivalent to 4½ pagodas and be in possession of the land.

Your honor's humble petitioner begs to bring to notice that, although he and his father spent a large amount of money to bring the land under cultivation, it was not possible for them to do so, for want of sufficient number of ryots they only succeeded after a hard labour to bring a portion of it under cultivation for want of seasonable rain. It was not possible to realize the godi, that was due to Government, for some years and at other years they realized the godi but were not able to realize the servants wages in full

a portion

a portion of which was being made good by your honor's humble petitioner. Formerly it was easy to secure the services of a servant, on a monthly payment of Rupees 2/=. but now, it has not been possible, for some years, to get a servant on Rs 4/- or even Rs 5/: a month. This fact is known to all. Your honor's humble petitioner has been paying Rs 4/= per month to his servant appointed to watch over the tope, which would amount to Rs 48/=. + 13-2-u godi. In all your honor's humble petitioner has to pay Rs 62-2-u. Although he does not realize the above amount in full from the Inam land, yet his only consolation in keeping it up, is, that the said Inam land was given in recognition of his father's services rendered for a charitable purpose which it is his (your honor's humble petitioner's) duty to maintain.

At the Inam settlement time, throughout the Province, puttas for the

D. Devadāya

Daivadaya Brahmadaya Inam lands were granted to Inam holders fixing the payment of quit-rent on them according to rules framed by the Government of India Section 18 of the Inam rules of 1867. Your honor's humble petitioner's Inam land was classed under Dharmadaya for which putta was granted to him fixing the payment of Jodi at Rupees 13-2-0 per annum instead of Rupees 13-1-6.

In the year 1885 during the time of Survey Jamabundy, although your petitioner's Inam land was not in excess of former measurement viz 2 candies 19 Kolagas and one balla at 7 annas per Kolaga, yet for 1½ Kolaga of land equivalent to one acre, the survey authorities fixed a Kandayam of Rs 1-8-0, 1-9-0, and 1-14-0 per acre and for 48 acres 19 Guntas they fixed a Kandayam of Rs 75-4-0. Of this, setting apart 19 acres, 16 Guntas at the above rate of Kandayam amounting to

Rupees

represented to your honor and copies of orders issued in their cases are herewith appended for your honors perusal.

Dewan's Proceedings No. 4205-6/174 Dt 19th August 81 requesting the Dy Commissioner to hold in abeyance any further proceedings towards the realization of the amount on the Inam land from Sir Sabapathy Mudaliar.

Dewan's Proceedings No. 734-7 dated 11th July 85 reducing the enhanced rate of Kandayam by two thirds on the Jodi Inam lands granted to Lakes amildar Abbobala Row.

Chief Secretary's No 931 dated 29th October 86 requesting the Dy Commissioner to cause the excess in the Minor Inams of Sir Savdays disposed of under the latest rules which deal with excess.

From the above your honor will observe that orders issued in the above cases are dissimilar although Concession has been shewn to them by

in reply that he acted in accordance with the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 4831/316 of 3rd October 74, Copy of which is herewith attached.

The Survey Superintendent further intimated the above to the Dy Commissioner Kolar and requested him that the excess amount of Kandayam viz Rs 46-8-0 + Rise fund Rs 6-15-3 total Rs 53-7-3 should be recovered from your petitioner. He has accordingly been served with a notice to that effect.

According to the late Commissioner's Notification of 1841, 1842 and 1846 above referred to, Copies of which are also herewith submitted for perusal, Several were granted Jodi Inams for building Chatrams Musafer Khanas, for planting topes and avenue trees on road side, and when the survey authorities wanted to deprive a portion of their land or recover enhanced rate of Kandayam from them as they did in your humble petitioner's case they all represented

Rupees 26-4-0 + one tenth of this amount viz Rs. 2-8-0 equal to Rs 28-12-0 for your petitioners portion, the balance remaining out of land formerly granted to your petitioner viz 29 acres 3 guntas paying a Kandayam of Rs 46-8-0 at the present rate. The Survey authorities want to annex to Government. They therefore intimated this to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar and accordingly your petitioner was served with a notice to that effect. The petitioner in reply represented through the Dy Commissioner to the Survey Department that the proceedure now adopted was opposed to the spirit of the Commissioner's Notifications under which Inam lands were formerly granted and to section 18 of the Inam rules framed by the Government of India. Your humble petitioner was informed by the Survey Superintendent

in

by Government.

In conclusion your humble petitioner most respectfully begs to represent that under the above circumstances your honor will be pleased to issue necessary orders to the Survey Superintendent not to proceed against your humble petitioner for the recovery of enhanced Kandayam on his godi Inam lands and to reaffirm the late Commissioner's notifications under which Inam lands were formally granted for the execution of all charitable works undertaken by your honor's humble Subjects.

A copy of the order passed on this petition may be kindly communicated to your honor's humble petitioner.

The other enclosures viz Copies of notices issued on your petitioner in connection with his godi Inams are herewith submitted for your honor's perusal.

It will not be out of place to

bring

enjoy their rights undisturbed and in whose case final orders have been issued by Government but such orders that having been issued in the case of Dharmadaya Inams the holders of such lands are therefore put to much inconvenience in consequence.

Your humble petitioner most respectfully begs leave to remain

Most Respected Sir,

Your Honor's most obedient & humble Servant

Sd/ S. Subba Rao

Residing at Nelsoor Pettah

Bangalore

Copy

bring to the notice of Government that the holders of minor Inams have been allowed to enjoy their Inam lands without any interference from the Survey department with this exception, viz, that if the said Inam land measures a larger area than was formerly granted then for the excess land the Inamdar has to pay ½ the Kundayani at the present rate if the excess land would yield a Kandayam of more than Rs 5 but if within this amount no Kandayam is recovered from the Inamdar.

The case of Dharmadaya Inams is different from the above. In this case your humble subjects have rendered some service to Goverment in the shape of building Chatrams and so forth, spending a large amount of money. These, therefore, are deserving of greater patronage from Government than the holders of minor Inams who enjoy.

D 10 1

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce, 3rd October 1874

From the Survey and Inam Commissioner to the Offg. Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, General Department, No 1384 dated Poona 7th September 1874.

By order of Chief Commissioner, No 4712-69 of the 19th November last, the resumption of excesses of Inam granted for the up-Kup of chatrams under the terms of the Commissioner's Notification of 1846 was directed.

2. In proceeding to carry out the orders referred to, a doubt has arisen, in order to clear away which detailed orders are required.

3. The amount of assessment of all inams granted for the above purposes is stated in the original grant. I propose to confirm an extent of land bearing a similar survey assessment plus 10 per cent, and to bring

1874.

Government of Mysore
Revenue.

To

The Survey and Inam
Commissioner in
Mysore.

Dated October 3rd
Despatched
Received.

No. 4831
Rev. 316

Additional Rules
proposed by the Survey
Commissioner to Supple-
ment those laid
down for the guidance
of the Survey Department
in dealing with excesses
discovered in inams
granted for the upkeep
of Chatrams &c —
directing their adoption.

for the guidance of the Survey Department in dealing with excesses discovered in inams granted for the up-keep of chatrams, &c. under the terms of the Commissioners Notification of 1846, meet with the Chief Commissioners' approval, and can accordingly be adopted.

H. Wellesley
Offg. Secretary.

To- The Survey and Inam Commissioner.
To- The Survey Superintendent.
To- The Inam Superintendent.
To- The Commissioners of Divisions.

bring the 32 surplus under assessment.

4. But in case any man was originally when granted, dry crop land, and has been by sinking wells or other distinct improvements at the expense of the inamdar converted into wet land, the value of the inam shall be calculated on the dry crop assessment alone.

5. When the survey assessment of the land now actually held as inam is less than the value named in the original grant, the deficiency will not be made good.

6 The above provisions will, I think, meet every case fairly, and I beg to suggest them for the consideration of the chief Commissioner.

No 4831-Rev. 316, dated Bangalore 3rd October 1874.

Order Thereon- The additional rules suggested in paras 4 and 5 of foregoing letter to supplement the rules laid down in this office Proceedings No 4712-R 67, dated 19th November 1873,

Proceedings of the Dept 34 RD
of Mysore.

Order No 4205-6 Ex to SC (1)
174

Bangalore. 19th August 1881

Pending final orders on the petition of Mr C.A.R. Sabhapathy Moodaliar in regard to the question of exemption in the Inam land Granted for the Chuttrum built by him at Medivalam in the Bangalore Taluk, the Deputy Commissioner requested to hold in abeyance any further proceedings towards the realization of the assessment on the Inam land.

No 650

The Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore.

+ Superintendent.
Revenue Survey

Requesting the Deputy Commissioner
to hold in obeyance further
proceedings towards the realization
of the amount in the Inam land
Granted for the Chuttrum tract
by Mr A.R. Sabhapathy Mooddiar
at Madavalum.

No 86

Pending final orders on the petition of Mer A. R. Sabhapati Mudaliar in regard to the question of excess in the inam land granted for the Chattra built by him at Madivalam in the Bangalore Taluk, the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District was requested to hold in abeyance any further proceedings towards the realization of the assessment on the excess land communicated to the Superintendent of the Inam and Survey Settlements.

Dated 19th August 1881

Bangalore

Kea

Letter No. 1245/434 dated 29th Dec.
1883 from the Sub Dr Officer Karasan
reporting upon the petition of
Ahobala Rao praying to be relieved
the unprofitable character of the
Godilands assigned for his Chattram
at Arakere & according to the area
of assessment thereon by the
Survey Department

Also Govt Letter No. 734-7 Revenue 4th July 85
The original grant of Lakshmulda
Ahobala Rao for the construction
of the Chattram at Arakere consisted
the planting of a Tope at Guidase
consisted of dry Candees, 19-10-5½
assessed at Rs. 113-5-11 of which he
surrendered as Inam Rs. 91-9-6 paying
the balance as Jodi to Government

The Survey Settlement has
raised the assessment on the land
to Rs. 236-12-0 not however from
except in the measurement, the
difference between the original
extent and the present being
strikingly small - but from the
higher classification which
renders the Inam liable to the
payment of Rs. 146-3-5 instead of
Rs 20 odd originally paid by
Ahobala Rao. The value of
the grant is therefore considerably
diminished and the grantee has

Officer reports that
the Chattram is well kept up and is
of very great use in Arakere a
village town, and on the high road from
Bangalore to Shimoga and probably
a future Railway station. The
topee also is reported to be in
good condition. The Dewan under
the circumstances concurring
in the recommendation of the
Divisional Officer is pleased to reduce
the present enhanced demand
by two thirds - Mobala Rs will
hereafter be Rs. 50/ (fifty) as
before enjoying the remainder
Rs 186 as Inam

Dft Shimoga Kad.

To the Divisional Officer Kasaba with papers
Inam Supt -
Circuit Supt
Mobala Rs

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

Camp No 931

Camp Mysore 29th October 1886

To

The Superintendent of
the Mysore Revenue Survey

Sir

In forwarding an original
the accompanying file as per margin,
I am directed to request that you will
cause the excess in the Minor inams
of Mr Pyndya noted in the Deputy
Commissioner's statement disposed
of under latest rules which deal
with such excess.

I am to request that
the file may be returned when no
longer required.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

(Sd) R. Vyasa Rao

Chief Secretary

1 Printed Proc of the
ch Comr of Mysore
No 937/34 dated 30th
April 1879.
2 do of Dewan of
Mysore No 1890-8/46
dated 16th June/82
3 do do No 2771-9/64
dated 14th July/82
4 From Dy. Comr
Tumkur District
No 879 dated 24.2.86
5 To do do No 6902/244
of 5.3.86
6 From do do No 222
dated 6.8.86
7 From do do No 277
dated 3.9.86.

14.11.84

"Copy"

Bangalore
5th April 1890

To

A. Seshagiri Iyer Esqr B.A.B.L. Pleader

Vakil to the Maha Rajah of Mysore

Sir,

Most Respected Sir,

I beg most respectfully to represent that I submitted an appeal petition on the 16 April 1888 in which I detailed in full the history of my case regarding the Kaveri Kandayam on my Shamadha Inam Lands fixed by the Survey Department and submitted copies of orders of proceedings of Government disposing of cases of similar nature.

(2) In the second petition again submitted on the 16 July 1888 I again respectfully represented that my claims for redress at your honors merciful hands have not been unnecessarily made as will be seen from a kind perusal of the above petitions on the subject.

(3) I submitted another petition on the same subject on the 1st August which is also pending your honors orders

(4) I represented to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar that my appeal is still pending your orders

I beg to remain

Most Respected Sir

Your most obedient and

most humble Servant

(Signed). S. Soobbadar

Residing at Nellore

Pettah

Bangalore

and until disposal my Inam Lands may not be sold by public auction in adjustment of Excess Kandayam not due by me. I then received a reply enclosed in my last application from the Amildar to the effect that either I should produce the final orders of the Local Government in my case within a month or deposit in the Taluq Treasury the Excess Kandayam Beg to submit that since my pre-senting my last petition dated 12 December 1889 I have received an order copy of which herein enclosed for your Kind perusal from the Amildar to pay the amount of the Excess Kandayam within 8 days hence, that in case of default, the above moveable & immoveable properties will be sold by public auction to realise the demand.

I therefore that my case which is pending your honors order for a long time may kindly be disposed off and an order issued to the Commissioner that my lands may not be sold by public auction till the issue of final orders in my case.

For which act of kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray for your honors long life & prosperity

&c

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಟೆಂಡರ್/೨೦೨೧-೨೨ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೧-೦೬-೨೦೨೧

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಕೆಲಸದ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು	ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ (ರೂ.)	ಇಎಂಡಿ (ರೂ.)	ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಮಾರಾಟ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ
೧	ಕೆರೆಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನಕಟ್ಟೆ ಕ್ರಾಸ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ೩.೨ ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ಡಾಂಬರು ರಸ್ತೆ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ	೧೨,೫೦,೦೦೦	೨೫,೦೦೦	೧೫-೦೬-೨೦೨೧
೨	ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಕೆರೆಯ ಏರಿ ಬಲಪಡಿಸುವಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ	೮,೭೫,೦೦೦	೧೭,೫೦೦	೧೫-೦೬-೨೦೨೧
೩	ಅಂಗನವಾಡಿ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೪ರ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ದುರಸ್ತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶೌಚಾಲಯ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ	೩,೨೦,೦೦೦	೬,೪೦೦	೧೫-೦೬-೨೦೨೧

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು:

೧. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಕಳೆದ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.

೨. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇ. ೨ರಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ಇಎಂಡಿ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಡಿ ಮೂಲಕ ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ೨ ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕು; ವಿಳಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ದಿನಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇ. ೦.೫ರಂತೆ ದಂಡ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೪. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಥವಾ ತಡವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್

No. 264

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

26th July — 1890

4 — 8 — 90

Appellant.

Siddaghatta Subba Rao,
Bangalore

Respondent.

Srinivasa

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition, dated 16th May 1890 Petitioner is informed that the Dy: Comm^r of the Kolar Dt has been directed to hold in ~~is informed~~ abeyance the collection of the excess Kandayam assessed on the Inam lands granted to his (Petr's) father in the Siddaghatta Talukh, pending the settlement of the Chattram Inam question

By order

U.S.

Under Secretary

H.D. — 6.

A.R. No 4227/194 dt 26-7-90.

4-8-

Copy to the Dy: Comm^r, Kolar District.

By order

Under Secretary

1890

To,

The D.C. Kolar Dt.

D/ 26/7
Dt. 4th August 1890

No 4227
194

Ind. 1 copy of Endorsement
to Siddayiatta Subba Rao

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 4050 7 - AUG. 90 242

189 0

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
Serial No. of Mysore.

Dated 2nd August 1890

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 24 filed V.P. X

General No. 1234 1890-91
No. 273

* V. file & Petn re. 420 are sent to S. Supdt 14/8/90

With reference to your Official Memorandum No 125 dated the 25th May last forwarding for report a petition from S Sooba Row, Klair Petta, Bangalore, I have the honor to submit for information and obliging return when no longer required the accompanying file of records ending with the Sidlagatta Taluk Circular No 186 of the 4th ultimo on the

Petn to V/A 6/8/90

Submitted with Compl

6/9/90 ~~Sd~~ Asst Secy

~~Superintendent~~ for perusal

6/9/90

Hereunto returned with Enclosures

3/9/90

Dep 22/8/90

82

subject.

It will be observed from the same that the Petitioner's Amroi Inam has like many others in the Province been settled on the principles laid down in the Govt Proceedings No 4-831 dated dev: 316 the 3rd October 1874 and that his objection to pay the assessment justly due by him to Government is inadmissible.

The Extent of the lands originally granted to the Petitioner as Inam was K 2—19—4 1/4 with a Beriz of Rs 26—4— Subject to the payment of a Jodi assessment of Rs 13—1—6 to Govt.

The area of the lands as ascertained during the Survey was acres K. 4—8—19 and the same was assessed at Rupees 75—4—

The Survey Department have Confirmed to the Petitioner an Extent of acres K. 19—16 bearing a Valuation of Rupees 28—12— which is Equal to the original Inam Beriz plus 10 per cent allowable under the Government Proceedings quoted above and have brought the Excess. Viz: acres G 29—3 under full assessment which amounts to Rupees 46—8—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obdt Servt

[illegible]
Asst & Deputy Commissioner
Kolaroon

No 2420 dated 11th
June 1890.—

Pelliner
v.
L. Salbaro
of Wanganui

Pelliner complaining against the charge made on the excess discovered in the Lucerne posts he has been for planting Avenue trees. The Pelliner & the papers connected therewith were forwarded to the Supt of Revenue for disposal under the rules recently sanctioned & published in the Wgnui Gazette dated 13th June 1890.—

CCL
31/8/91

AM
7/8

Petitioner No. 420

S. Subbarao of Bangalore

Scrutiny No (11)

Endorsement. 9/8/91

In reply to his Petition dated 11th June 1891 Petitioner is informed that the petition & the papers connected therewith have been forwarded to the Survey Supdt. for disposal under the rules

~~is informed that~~

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
10/14 August 1891.

By Order,

[illegible] Secretary.

W.

AR

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 420 of 11th June 1890

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

S. Subba Rao of
Bangalore.

Serve
a/c 12.

Decision. 3/8/91.

Petitioner complains against the charge made on the excess discovered in the Inam granted to him for planting avenue trees. The Petition and the papers connected therewith will be forwarded to the Supdt of Rev: Survey for disposal under the rules recently sanctioned and published in the Mysore Gazette dated 13th June 1891.

10/8/91

By order

Asst Secy.

No 5807
" P.27
Copy forwarded to the Supdt of Rev: Survey

By order

Asst Secy.

AR

R 295-92

Rec 1/1/6

19-12-16

Submit draft letter G.O. 227 R 2339.

16/3/96

The agent of the Inam is #62-12 - Mar of the land proposed & the Govt instead is #. 31-12 - How can the Govt Rece land equal to the dry land apart from the wet land" as stated in the Remark Col 5 ? Subd - Please add Rs 31 of no 459 Chandrum given in the statement in para 2 8/8.

The wording in this case that the land be granted shd be "equal as far as possible in character quality & value to the assessed land" & it is only when even this is not possible that a "reasonable money grant may be recommended" - In draft (1) sanctd (2) as above

R 20/12

1893 OFFICE OF THE 9957. 19 DEC 9 R 1800

From The Supdt of Revenue Survey Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~The Revenue Survey,~~ Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 18th December/93

Despatched Serial No. 13

Received

Enclosures No./

General No. 395 / No. } 1893.

With reference to Govt Proceedings Order No. 16246-55- R 7295-92 of the 24th March 1893, Para 3, has the honor to forward for sanction, the accompanying statement showing new lands proposed to be allotted in lieu of Inam lands resumed as in excess under first settlement but not now available for restoration under revised settlement.

2. It is a question whether in lieu of dry lands wet should

should be given. Orders are solicited as to whether lands should be assigned or a ready Inam should be assigned from the Treasury.

H Grant

Supt: Revenue Survey
Mysore.

Statement under para 2 of 24th March 1893 Showing the new lands proposed to be allotted under first settlement and not now available for restoration un

Taluk	Hagri	Village	Name of Inamdar	Lands proposed to first now substituted: Survey Number		Assessment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	9	10	11
Siddlagatta	Siddlagatta	Bellati	Gurrao bin Krishnappa Bellati	28 Rice	13	16 . 0.	Rice lands equal to dry assessment has been given to the Inamdar at his request as there was no dry land available.
				28	14	9 . 8.	
				28	36	6 . 4.	
				28.		31 - 12	
Ditto	Ditto	Chandsandra	Ditto		19	31 . 0.	
						62 . 12	

Supt. Revenue Survey
Mysore.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To

Serial No 19

The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

Dated 1st

Despatched 4th August/94

Received

Enclosures No. Copy

General No. 3750

Pet: No. 353

This Office No 5807/27 dated 10th August 1891. forwarding for disposal petition from Subba Row complaining against the charge levied upon the excess discovered in his Inam

---

Has the honor to forward, in continuation, copy of docket No. 4818/1165 of 29th June 1894 from the Deputy Commr Kolar District, requesting the early disposal of the petition of Subba Row of Alambpate, Bowringpet

By order

Redmft

Chief Secretary

~~R 530~~ ~~D/ 4-9-94~~

Read

Letter No. 395 of 18th

Dec 1893 from the Supdt

of Rev. Survey in Mysore

Subg for sanction a

statement showing parti

culars of wet lands pro-

posed to be allotted

in lieu of dry (excess)

lands belonging to one

Ivvras bin Kushappa

of the Sidlaghatta Taluk

which latter were

resumed under

first ~~Rajan~~ Settlement

but which are now

not available for

restoration under

revised Settlement

Orders thereon.

The proposal of the

4080-81 D/ 4. 9. 94

~~R 530~~

RT 295-94 for 1.

Survey Supdt is sanc-
tioned.

2. With reference to
para 2 of his letter
the existing instruction
are that the land to be
granted should be
equal, as far as pos-
sible, in character,
quality and value
to the resumed land"
and it is only when
even this is not possible
that a Ready Money
Grant may be
recommended.

Chief Secretary.

abn

To

The Supdt of Ry Survey
in Mysore

No Enam Supdt with
Copy of statement.
A.M.

Date

Aug 94

8/9 46

No. 47.]

66 ✓

1894



From

The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated

Despatched

Bangalore
8th September
1894

Received 10 Sept 96.

Enclosures No.

General No. 170 } 1894
No.

Chief Secretary's Docket
No. 3750 of the 1st Instt
Pl 353
requesting the early
disposal of the Petition
of Subba Rau of [redacted]
pet Bangalore complain
ing against the charge
levied upon the areas
discovered in his Inam.

I Have the honor to state

Rec'd 10-9-96

The Rev Branch.

Please put up R.F. 295-92 herein referred to.

14/9. Rec'd put up 4080-84/9/94 & 295-92

× Put up

30/10/96.

Rec'd. 4/11

5/11

~~How~~ Orders have been passed on the Survey Supplt ref^e No 395 of 18 Dec^r 1893 herein referred to vide Rev. No. 4080-81 RF 295-91 dated 4 Sept^r 94. Not was despatched on the 7 Sept^r while this docket was addressed on the 8th Idem

Draft reply referring S. Supplt to the above

6/11

7/11

in reply that a statement shewing new lands proposed to be allotted in lieu of Inam lands resumed as excess under first settlement but not now available for restoration under revised settlement in the Sidlagatta Taluk has been submitted for sanction with this Office Docket No. 395 of 18th December 1893 (vide Government Proceedings Order No. 16246-55 dated R.T. 295-92 14th March 1893, Para 3). The Petition in question will be disposed of along with other cases in the Taluk after Government sanction is received to the above.

Supdt. Mysore Resumed Survey

1894. 7/6

To

The Superintendent
Cuppa Ker. Survey

Dated 6
Despd. 8 } Nov 94.

Serial 201

No. No. 7155
Pet: 627

His docket No. 170 of 8th Septr. 94
Stating that his petition of Subba Row
of Salem Pet: Bangalore Complaining
against the charge levied upon the
Excess discovered in his Inam will
be disposed of along with other cases
in the Taluk after receipt of Sanction
to the Statement submitted with his
docket No. 395 of 18th December 1893.

With reference to the above, has the
honor to State that the cross of post.
on the said docket No. 395 of 18 Decr. 93
have been conveyed in post. Pro.
No. 4080-81 / R.7. 295-91 of 6th Septr. 94.

By order

Dpl

5/11.

for Clk. Secy.

627

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 6500

21 NOV 94

From The Dy Commissioner Bellary District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Serial No 518.

Dated 16th } November

Despatched 20 }

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1843

No. 426

His Official Memo: No. 1227/94 dated 26: July 1890, forwarding a copy of the endorsement given to the petitioner Sidlaghatta Subba Row, Bangalore, regarding the collection of the excess Kandayam on the Inam lands granted to his father being held in abeyance pending the settlement of the Chattram Inam in question.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request that the file of records

---

F.L. Ell 21/11/14

Rec 21/11

General Records

Please put up

Draft

(1) Docket to the Dy Commr informing him that the Supt Rev Survey, has been addressed, to whom the records have been sent, has been addressed on the subject.

(2) Forward a copy of this letter to the Supt, Rev Survey with the intimation that the file alluded to herein, was sent to him from this office vide No 5807 P. No 27 dated 10 August 91

11/23/11

Drafted

SHR 24/11

Records submitted with this Office letter No. 1234/273 dated 21 August 1890 may be returned for reference.

for Dy Commissioner

To 2 Asst Supdts

The Supdt. Rev: Survey

dated 27-11-94 ✓

No 8579

Pet: 817

Encs: 1

Has he honor to forward herewith a copy of docket no. 1843/426 dated 16th November 1894 passed by Comm, Coorg with the intimation that the file alluded to therein, was sent to the Supdt. Rev: Survey from this office with No. 5807 dated 10th August 1891 P. No. 27.

Series No 19 ✓

By order

Chief Secy

Draft

CMR

26/11

26/11

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

To 2 draft 1

The Dy. Commr.
Kolar.

Dated 27-11-94 } ✓
No. 8580
Pet: 818

His docket no. 1843/426 dated 16th November 1894 requesting the return of the bill of records submitted by him in connection with the complaint of Sidlo-ghatta Subba Row, Bangalore.

Serial no 20 ✓

With reference to the above, has the honor to inform the Dy. Commr. that the Supdt. of Rev: Survey to whom the records have been sent, has been addressed on the subject.

By order

Chief Secy.

Draft.
SKR
26/11 26/11

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. _____
No.

No. 47.]

1894 7187.

Pet: 326

From

The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore December 1894

Despatched 3rd.

Received Serial No 21

Enclosures No.s one file of corres.

General No. 334 } 1894
No.

Pet. Pt. in March
8/12

The Rev. Branch

16246-50

Pet up for Rs R.T. 295. 92
dated 24 March 92

Spare copy
pet up.
2nd
29/7/12

29/5/12

Issued the file of
records to the Dy Commr
28/8/12

Draft
sent

avenue trees, has the honor to
state that all such cases in the
Siddagatta Taluk including
the case under reference have
been dealt with under Government
Proceedings Order No. 16246-50 / R-4 295-92
dated 24th March 1893 and forwarded
for disposal to the Deputy Commr.
Kolar with this Office Yadi No. 312
dated 1st October 1894

D Hunt

To
The Dy. Commr.
Kolar.

Dated 11-12-94
13
No. 9615
Pet: 938 Encs 1 / file.

Sorrow 9.16-12

This Office No: 8580 dated
Pet. 818
27th November 1894

In continuation of the above has the honor to ~~forward~~ return herewith the papers relating to the complaint of Venkatachalla Subba Row against the charge made on the occasion discovered in the drain ~~in Shoolay~~ ~~reports~~ ~~letters~~ as regarded by letter is his no 1843/426 dated 16 Nov 94.

By order

11/12

In Charge

Draft
SKR
10/12

VJ 10/12

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Draft to S.

To,

The Deputy Commr.,
Kolar District.

Dated 7/9/95.
No. 2893
R.F. 1895-96 In 3rd P.

His docket No. 626 dated 2nd August 1895 submitting for sanction a refund bill in favor of Avanna and Sujja for Rs 54-12-0 being the sale proceeds of survey Nos 25+30 in the Chinta-dipi Village, Sidlaghatta Taluk belonging to one Loob-Row, Sold in public auction on account of the arrears of assessment due from him on some excess Amroy inam land brought under full assessment at the Survey Settlement, but no Sale was not confirmed owing

Sircar

V

to the deep Inam Enquiry subsequently confirmed by the Inam Officer.

In returning the 3 enclosures received with the above, observes that in the

the refund bill it is stated that the proceeds realized at the sale of Survey No 25 V 30 ~~alluded to above~~ were kept under deposit as per Chief Secretary's Memo No. 4227/194 dated 26/14 July 1890 & subsequently credited to Government ~~but~~ vide the Treasury Officer's credit Certificate on the back of the bill shews that the amount in question was credited partly in July and partly in September 1889. Thus there appears to be a discrepancy in dates which requires explanation.

By order

7-9-95

Rev: Secy

22

Bills

Draft for approval

To.

The Dy Commr
Kolar District

Dated 23-5-96

No 1066/1h A.D.
Je 738

Dy Commr's docket No 4154/1115 dated 29th April 1896 stating that the Sum of Rs. 54/12/- being the Sale proceeds of certain lands belonging to one Subbarao in the Chintadipi village, Sidlaghatta Taluk, proposed to be refunded to the purchaser Avanna of Singa, was credited partly in July and partly in Septr 1889 as certified to by the District Treasury officer.

In returning the enclosure, to the above, has the honor to observe that the Dy Commr's docket under reference does not explain the discrepancy pointed in this office No 2893 R-7-18-95 of 7th Septr 1895 that the Treasury

Officer's certificate does not appear to be correct. The facts stated in the Banarese refund bill show that July and Sept^{r} 1889 are the months in which the sale proceeds were brought under deposit & that the amount was actually credited to Govt. ~~under the Dy Comm^{rs}'s Memo No 230~~ in the year 1894. The Dy Comm^{r} is therefore requested to ascertain the correct date on which the amount was finally credited to Govt & accordingly obtain & Submit a revised refund bill with a fresh certificate from the District Treasury officer.

"By order"

23/5

For Secretary

HH
21/5/96

Gen. 31.] 87

REVENUE DEPART. Cl. No. 509 No. 89 20 JUL. 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE

1896

RW 20.7.96

RW 22/7

References subsp

22/7

Submitted with draft

BB 29/7/96

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the ~~Dewan of Mysore.~~ Government Rev and Genl Depts.

Dated 13th July 1896

Despatched 17

Received

General No. 257

No. 62

Enclosure No. 1 Piece

His docket No 10261 / Fl 738 dated 23rd may 1896 regarding a refund bill for Rs 54-12-0 being the sale proceeds of Survey Nos 25 and 30 of Chinnadipi village Sidlaghatta Taluk.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to submit herewith for sanction a revised refund bill with a fresh certificate from the District Treasury officer. It appears that

that the amount which at first was brought under deposit partly in July and partly in September 1889 was subsequently credited to Government in June 1894 as an unclaimed deposit of more than 3 years' standing.

The vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject is also enclosed for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Draft for [illegible]

Inward No 29

Read:—

Correspondence ending with docket No 251/62 dated 13th July 1896, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, Submitting ~~for sanction~~ a revised refund bill in favor of Avanna and Linga, for Rs 54—12—0, being the Sale proceeds of Survey Nos 25 and 30 in the Chinta dipi Village, Sidlaghatta Taluk ~~belonging to Sada Bova~~ sold in public auction, on account of the arrears of assessment due thereon ~~from~~ ~~him or some except on~~ ~~in an land brought under~~ ~~full assessment at the Survey Settlement, but~~ ~~which sale is now set aside~~ + stating that the said ~~formed owned by the~~ except Inam having been subsequently ~~been~~

Avanna Rs 54—0—
Linga — 0—12—
54—12—

which were treated as escheat in the Amani Inam land belonging to one Subba Rao of Bangalore and

~~be~~ Confirmed by the
Imam Department as Imam,
the sale ~~proceeds~~ proceeds have
to be refunded to the parties concerned.

Order thereon No ~~2000~~ 2001/Jl. 88 dt 31-7-96.

The refund bill
for Rs 54-12-0 is sanc-
tioned and transmitted
to the Comptroller for audit
with the remark that much
unnecessary correspondence could
have been avoided by the correct
preparation of the Refund Bill in the first instance.

Secretary.
31-7-96

DD
29/7/96 Jl 30/7/96

The Deputy Commr.,
Kolar District, returning
Ver: papers.
The Comptroller with
the bill.